

Two Krebiozen Reports

Submitted to the Joint
Committee of the 68th General Assembly
of Illinois at the request of its
Chairman, Representative William E. Pollack

By George D. Stoddard
President of the University of Illinois
March, 1953

Two Krebiozen Reports

by George D. Stoddard

President of the University of Illinois

Submitted at the request of the
Honorable William E. Pollack,
Chairman of House Joint Resolution 10
Committee of the 68th General Assembly
of Illinois appointed "For the Purpose
of Ascertaining the Facts of the
Controversy That Has Arisen at the
University of Illinois Concerning
Research on Krebiozen"

Second Report
March 25, 1953

The Key to the
Puzzle — the Durovics

First Report
March 18, 1953

Statement before
House Joint
Resolution 10
Committee of the
68th General
Assembly of Illinois

IL6u Csto

~~Illinois collection~~

Second Report

March 25, 1953

The Key to the Puzzle — the Durovics

George D. Stoddard, President, University of Illinois

My first report to the Committee dated March 18, 1953 is included in this pamphlet.

It has been suggested that this second report might consist, in part, of a reply to various charges found in Dr. Ivy's presentation of March 18th. Having heard Dr. Ivy read his bulletin entitled "Statement," together with a digest of certain affidavits he is to present later, I doubt if any good purpose would be served by a line-by-line answer to the questions raised. I am not sure that we are using the English language in the same way. In the interests of brevity only a few illustrations will be given.

(A) At the top of page 24 of the "Statement" Dr. Ivy quotes me correctly as follows:

In November, 1952, the only research in progress on Krebiozen was research on cancer patients. The prohibition on Krebiozen referred exclusively to clinical research; it did not apply to chemical research or biological investigations which would involve the use of organisms other than man.

He then goes on:

The President was confused. In November, 1952, in fact, since the Fall of 1951, *no clinical research on Krebiozen was under way at the University for him to ban.* [Italics Dr. Ivy's.] The only clinical research being conducted on Krebiozen by any staff member of the University was being conducted by me on my own time.

Where does this leave us? Reduced to its simplest terms, the answer would appear to be this:

- (1) The President did not ban clinical research at the University. (Ivy.)
- (2) The President did not ban chemical research at the University. (Ivy and Stoddard agree.)
- (3) The President did not ban biological or animal research. (Ivy and Stoddard agree.)

In short, according to this analysis, the President did not ban anything at the University and we are, therefore, left to wonder what the agitation was about.